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ABOUT OUR TEAM & UNIT

Student Success & Engagement Team

- **Scope:** Teach mainly on first-year and lower-division students, but implement programs to support student success at all levels

- **Mission:** The Student Success & Engagement Team collaboratively and compassionately supports all students through innovative instruction, creative outreach, quality research assistance, and flexible online learning.

Working groups

- Involved in working groups with people across the library focusing on information literacy, research assistance, user experience, and outreach and communication

Source: Student Success & Engagement Team | J. Paul Leonard Library, 2022
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LEARNING OUTCOMES

You will be able to:

● Describe and explain the codebooks and coding process used in this study

● Interpret insights gained from student feedback

● Plan a feedback analysis project and adapt our process and resources at your own library, if you so choose
The Information Literacy Working Group recommended using the Project Outcome for Academic Libraries survey from ACRL for instruction sessions and events at our Library.

- Four Likert-scale questions about learning, application, and confidence
- Two open-ended, qualitative questions:
  1. What did you like most about this session? (Like Most)
  2. What else could the library do to help you succeed in your classes? (What Else)
- 8 of 14 instruction librarians used the survey in AY 22-23 to varying degrees
- Apart from individual reflection, the survey data has not been used
PURPOSE

**Look back:** Dig deeper into feedback to support user-centered, continuous improvement to library instruction

**Look forward:** Develop a more systematic approach to interpreting and acting on what our students tell us
METHODOLOGY: OVERVIEW

Pulled qualitative data from Project Outcome for Academic Year 2022–23:

- Like Most: 1,126 responses
- What Else: 918 responses

Created, tested, and adjusted a codebook for each question

Coded responses and analyzed trends in what’s working and what’s not
DEVELOPING THE CODEBOOK

**Codebook v.1**
- Code 30 responses without a codebook
- Draft a codebook based on patterns in our initial codes
- Discuss and revise code names and definitions

**Codebook v.2**
- Code a new excerpt with Codebook v.1
- Discuss cases of disagreement or uncertainty
- Adjust code names and definitions

**Codebook v.3**
- Code a new excerpt with Codebook v.2
- Discuss cases of disagreement or uncertainty (again)
- Adjust code names and definitions (again)

**Codebook v.4**
- Begin coding the full dataset with Codebook v.3
- Identify a new set of problem cases
- Adjust code names and definitions and test one last time
- Consider: What is this for?

**Begin coding!**
What did you like most about this session?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Already knew</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citing &amp; synthesizing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarity/delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engagement/interactivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finding &amp; searching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Librarian support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source types</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student learning (general)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unclear</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^ See the full codebook in our slides: tinyurl.com/loex2024
What else could the library do to help you succeed in your classes?

^ See the full codebook in our slides: tinyurl.com/loex2024
CODING THE DATA

CODE

Each person codes independently in a separate sheet of a Google Sheets workbook.

COMPILE

Faith combines and sorts our four sets of codes:
- 4/4 agree: Easy!
- 3/4: Majority rules
- 2/4 or 1/4: Flagged for discussion

RECONCILE

We discuss each flagged comment as a team until at least three people agree on a code.
**CODING THE DATA**

**Example:** “Lizzy gave an insightful and informative demonstration of how to search, evaluate sources, and use sources to make a research paper.”

Original codes: 2 of 4 agree

1. **Student learning (general)**
2. **Clarity**
3. **Finding & Searching** and **Evaluating**
4. **Finding & Searching** and **Evaluating**

Final code: **Librarian support**

- As we discussed it, we decided this was actually the student’s main point.
We agreed to create a new *Lack of Awareness* code in *What Else* as we noticed a pattern of students asking us to add resources or services that we already had.

**Definition**
Student expressed a desire for a resource or service that already exists. *Note: Only a secondary code!*

**Rationale**
Capture all the things, across all categories, that students don't know about

**Examples**
“One on one appointments would be very helpful as well”
“order a source for me if it is not available in sfsu library”
We renamed Clarity as Clarity/Delivery to cover the full range of Like Most comments about the way a librarian demonstrated or explained something.

**Definition**

Student comments on the clarity of the lesson or the ease of following the material.

**Rationale**

Captures sentiments toward the instructor's pacing and explanations.

**Examples**

“I liked that it was very detailed and concrete so you know what you’re gonna do when you’re alone.”

“The slow pace”
We added a Non-Library code to highlight a group of What Else comments (initially coded Other) that could be the basis for outreach to campus partners.

**Definition**
Student mentions a non-library unit or service (e.g., TASC or tutoring)

**Rationale**
Captures misattribution of non-library services or possible opportunities for collaboration with other campus services

**Examples**
“I wish there was accommodation for DPRC registered students such as printouts … as well as other needed helpt … as I requested a year ago.”
We created a new **Unclear** code in both codebooks as we noticed how often we had interpreted a vague or incomplete comment in different but equally plausible ways.

**Definition**

Cannot determine intention or meaning; ambiguous, incomplete, or illegible.

**Rationale**

Captures items that are not clear enough to code.

**Examples**

“The amazing outgoing.”

“reading more about the subjects”

“Research”
HICCUPS AND DISAGREEMENTS: VERBS

We added some typical verbs to our Finding & Searching and Library Resources definitions to make coding easier and more consistent. (Spoiler: It didn’t work.)

**Definition**

Student comments on finding sources or mechanics of searching *(generally verbs like search, navigate, explore)*

**Rationale**

Captures student comments related to finding sources or conducting searches

**Definition**

Student comments on awareness of library resources *(generally verbs like use)*; includes comments about physical spaces in the library

**Rationale**

Captures increase in student awareness of library resources due to instruction
HICCUPS AND DISAGREEMENTS: VERBS

As a result of coding strictly by the book during reconciliation, we ended up assigning different codes to some comments that felt alike:

- “I liked navigating the library website” (Finding & Searching)
- “Learning about how to properly use the library website (Library Resources)

But some of us didn’t consistently follow the verbs, and if 3/4 agreed, we skipped reconciliation. Which left us with similar comments with different codes for the opposite reason:

- “Learning how OneSearch worked and how I can use it for most assignments” (Library Resources)
- “Learning about how to use OneSearch” (Finding & Searching)
HICCUPS AND DISAGREEMENTS: USAGE

Many uncertainties stemmed from variations in how our students used words and how we used those same words:

● What do they mean by “database”? Is it ever the same as a “website”?
● What does it mean to “navigate” vs. “search” vs. “use” an online tool?
● Is a “tutorial” like a “workshop” or a “session”? Or is it actually a video?
FINDINGS: LIKE MOST

“What did you like most about this session?”

- Our students like learning about **what they have access to** and **how to use it**!
  - The top two of the 13 codes, Finding & Searching and Library Resources, together made up 40% of responses
- Our students seem to appreciate **hands-on learning** activities
  - About 11% of responses mentioned Engagement/Interactivity
FINDINGS: LIKE MOST

- Library resources
- Finding & searching
- Clarity
- Engagement/Interactivity
- Librarian support
- Student learning (general)
- Evaluating
- Citing & synthesizing
- Unclear
- Other
- Already knew
- Application
- Source types
FINDINGS: WHAT ELSE

“What else could the library do to help you succeed in your classes?”

● Nothing much, it’s fine
  ○ About 42% felt Neutral (26.9%) or Satisfied (15.1%) with what the library provides and the lesson they received

● Our students want more! Some common requests:
  ○ More instruction in classes and workshops
  ○ More tutorials, videos, and other digital learning objects
  ○ More communication about library resources and services
FINDINGS: WHAT ELSE

- Neutral
- Satisfied/positive
- Instruction
- Research Assistance
- Resources
- Communication
- Technology
- Space
- Personal Initiative
- Lack of Awareness
- Unclear
- Other
- Non-library
- Hours
- Unsatisfied
**Findings: A Closer Look**

At the end of reconciliation, we sorted three sets of What Else comments to get a clearer picture of what students were telling us:

- **Instruction**: Positive (16%) vs. Constructive Feedback (84%), More Instruction (74%) vs. DLO (27%)
- **Communication**: Praise (1.5%) vs. Constructive Feedback (98.5%)
- **Research Assistance**: Positive (20%) vs. Request (80%)
**Next Steps (1/3)**

Share feedback and findings with appropriate librarians and working groups

- **Information Literacy Working Group (ILWG)**
  - More instruction!
  - Not necessarily more one-shots

- **Online Learning Librarian**
  - More digital learning objects!
  - Feedback will help guide the direction of DLO development
NEXT STEPS (2/3)

Share feedback and findings with appropriate librarians and working groups

- **Research Assistance Working Group** (RAWG)
  - Suggestions to promote research assistance more
  - Positive feedback regarding chat

- **User Interfaces Working Group** (UIWG)
  - Comments about wi-fi in the building, the library website, OneSearch interface, etc.
  - Feedback will help inform user testing priorities
Next Steps (3/3)

Share feedback and findings with appropriate librarians and working groups

- Outreach, Engagement, & Communication Working Group and First-Year Experience Librarian
  - Better and earlier communication about library resources and services!
  - Feedback will help focus new communication efforts on reaching first-year and lower-division students where they are
  - Feedback will help us consider the language we’re using to describe our services and resources
FURTHER RESEARCH

- Continue to collect instruction feedback
- Expand the dataset by recruiting more instruction librarians to participate
- Refine the codebook and our coding process
- Pull out subsets of data to analyze separately, for example:
  - All the courses taught by our team
  - All the sections of a particular course that use a set lesson plan
  - All English composition courses
Recommendations

- Encourage using a standard feedback form for all library instruction
- A small team works well to analyze the data
- When developing the codes, think ahead:
  - Why do you want to know this?
  - Who will you want to share it with?
  - How will this be helpful?
- The process will go slowly at first, but it will speed up, we promise!
THANK YOU!

Faith Rusk
frusk@sfsu.edu

Lizzy Borges
elizabethborges@boisestate.edu

Melanie Smith
smithms@sfsu.edu

Zia Davidian
zdavidian@sfsu.edu

tinyurl.com/loex2024
COMPLETE CODEBOOKS
What did you like most about this session?
**Definition**

Student comments on having received prior library instruction or already knowing the material

**Rationale**

Captures instances of repetition for students, whether they learned the material in a formal lesson or not

**Examples**

“I mean it was repetitive of things I’ve learned before a few weeks ago”

“I already knew how to use OneSearch but having this session made it much easier to navigate.”
**Application**

**Definition**

Student comments on their intention to apply skills they learned to their academic or non-academic work in the future (not to be used in reference to application during the session)

**Rationale**

Captures the ways in which students see an impact of the lesson beyond the instruction session

**Examples**

“It taught me skills that I will be using for other classes”
Citing & synthesizing

**Definition**
Student comments on citing or synthesizing sources

**Rationale**
Captures student self-assessment of learning or awareness related to citing or synthesizing sources

**Examples**
“Learning how to correctly cite since I feel like I’ve been doing it a little wrong.”
“I now know how to properly paraphrase.”
Clarity/delivery

**Definition**
Student comments on the clarity of the lesson or the ease of following the material

**Rationale**
Captures sentiments toward the instructor's pacing and explanations

**Examples**
“"I learned about a useful and complicated tool in an efficient and digestible manner.”

“The slow pace”

“I liked that it was very detailed and concrete so you know what you’re gonna do when you’re alone.”
### Engagement/interactivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Rationale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student comments on the interactivity of the lesson or feeling engaged</td>
<td>Captures sentiments about active learning components of library instruction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Examples**

“I enjoyed how interactive it was. I was able to follow along with the lesson on the computer. The activity search on our own research topics was very helpful.”
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluating</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Rationale</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Student comments on evaluating sources or evaluation methods</td>
<td>Captures student self-assessment of learning or awareness related to evaluating sources</td>
<td>“I enjoyed evaluating which links we would or would not use in a hypothetical assignment” “The ACT UP method that i think is very useful for people to choose good sources.”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Finding & searching

**Definition**
Student comments on finding sources or mechanics of searching (generally verbs like search, navigate, explore)

**Rationale**
Captures student comments related to finding sources or conducting searches

**Examples**
“Learning to navigate the library website to find strong sources.”

“It helped me understand how to narrow or broaden my search results to my preference.”
Librarian support

Definition
Student comments on helpfulness of librarians or willingness to offer support; general positive sentiment about librarians

Rationale
Captures positive student perception of librarians and the support they provide

Examples
“The librarian is so helpful and welcoming, and I intend to reach out to her if I ever need any future help in regards to finding research etc.”

“The enthusiasm of the staff, wanting to genuinely help students if need, much appreciated!”
**Library resources**

**Definition**
Student comments on awareness of library resources (generally verbs like *use*); includes comments about physical spaces in the library

**Rationale**
Captures increase in student awareness of library resources due to instruction

**Examples**
“Free NYT subscription yo!!”
“I liked the overall tour of the different databases we have here at SFSU. It’s great to see how many resources we have that our tuition pays for.”
Definition
Student comments on awareness or importance of different source types

Rationale
Captures student understanding of different source types and their value or importance

Examples
“I learned the differences of primary and secondary sources on a deeper level.”

“This session help me identify primary sources and secondary primary and provide us an opportunity to access some primary sources that I might need for my project.”
**Student learning (general)**

**Definition**
Student comments generally (without any specifics) that they learned something from the session

**Rationale**
Captures general student self-assessment of learning

**Examples**
“learned something that I never even knew existed”
“that I really did learn something new”
**Definition**

Student's comments are not captured by other codes

**Rationale**

Captures items that are situated outside of the current codebook

**Examples**

“the chairs are super smooth to roll around”

“I liked that it was kind of a field trip to a new class. Having a new setting from time to time is engaging.”

“nothing, i felt lost the whole time”
**Definition**

Cannot determine intention or meaning; ambiguous, incomplete, or illegible

**Rationale**

Captures items that are not clear enough to code

**Examples**

“The amazing outgoing.”

“Research”

“learning the difference between”

“COol”
‘WHAT ELSE’ CODEBOOK

What else could the library do to help you succeed in your classes?
**Definition**

Student expresses a desire to know more information about the library, or a wish to have known something sooner

**Rationale**

Captures what students want to hear from us about or want to know about

**Examples**

“I am a senior, I wish I knew this earlier!”

“Post signs about where to check out a book”

“Just make us more aware of all the services you all provide”
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Communication</th>
<th>Hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Instruction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of awareness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-library</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal initiative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research assistance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resources</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfied/positive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsatisfied</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Definition**

Student comments on increasing library hours

**Rationale**

Captures the desire for increased library hours

**Examples**

“The library could have study rooms stay open later (or even 24 hours).”

“Why is the library closed on Saturdays? Would be nice for my Saturday class and for completing group projects”
**Definition**
Student comments on the value of instruction, the desire for more instruction (including DLOs), or the teaching librarian's delivery

**Rationale**
Captures student ideas about instruction and their perception of its value; thoughts about instruction that could go to ILWG

**Examples**
“Do more of what you did today for other classes.”
“videos to watch and go back to as a refresher”
“Slow down and write the steps out”
**Definition**
Student expressed a desire for a resource or service that already exists. *Note: Only a secondary code!*

**Rationale**
Capture all the things, across all categories, that students don't know about

**Examples**
“One on one appointments would be very helpful as well”

“order a source for me if it is not available in sfsu library”
**Definition**

Student responds with *n/a, nothing, no, not sure*

**Rationale**

Captures null and uncertain responses

**Examples**

“No suggestions at this time”

“IDK”

“Not sure because I am never in the library to be honest.”
**Definition**

Student mentions a non-library unit or service (e.g., TASC or tutoring)

**Rationale**

Captures misattribution of non-library services or possible opportunities for collaboration with other campus services

**Examples**

“I wish there was accommodation for DPRC registered students such as printouts (visually challenged like myself), as well as other needed help (comfortable seat, typist, and etc., as I requested a year ago) … .”
### Definition
Student comments on their own actions or use of information/resources as a next/necessary step

### Rationale
Captures feedback from students who feel they can work independently or ask for help if needed

### Examples
“Ya’ll are awesome. Honestly, nothing. I need to reach out and utilize the tool of the library more.”

“I would like to try out getting in touch with other librarians to see if there is even more to learn about!”
**Definition**

Student requests research assistance support or comments on its value

**Rationale**

Captures student interest in and awareness of (or need for) research assistance support; thoughts about RA that could go to RAWG

**Examples**

“Do they have sessions where they can help you research for your topics? If not, that would be helpful.”
**Definition**

Student comments on the availability of library resources or requests access to more or different resources (journals, databases; not DLOs)

**Rationale**

Captures thoughts on access to information resources

**Examples**

“Provide more accessible online books”

“Nothing! The library offers a lot of resources.”
Definition

Student expresses general satisfaction or positivity. *Note: if they mention something specific related to another code, use that.*

Rationale

Captures positive responses to what the library offers

Examples

“I am happy with the services offered thus far. Keep blowing my mind! ^_^”

“You guys are great!!”

“Not much that I can think of. Pretty slay.”
**Definition**

Student comments on different library spaces

**Rationale**

Captures what students like or dislike about the library as a space

**Examples**

“I love the library atmosphere and that definitely helps me study”

“More signs/arrows pointing to room number I was lost trying to find this one honestly hahaha”

“Get carrels bc I don't like people peeping over my shoulder”
Definition
Student comments on technology in the library or the library website

Rationale
Captures student experiences with library technology and website

Examples
“Technological issues are a little too frequent for the library to be a seamless place for research”
“The wifi is pretty bad in this room”
“Streamline the complicated website, update it to meet modern standards.”
Definition
Student comments that they are not satisfied with library offerings/support

Rationale
Captures negative feedback

Example
“Everthing”

* By the end of the process, the example above was the only comment with this code. Moving forward, we may merge it with the Other code.
**Definition**

Student's comments are not captured by other codes

**Rationale**

Captures items that are situated outside of the current codebook

**Examples**

“make printing free”

“invent a button that combusts all transphobes and racists when pressed. my mental health would be that much improved.”
**Definition**

Cannot determine intention or meaning; ambiguous, incomplete, or illegible

**Rationale**

Captures items that are not clear enough to code

**Examples**

“reading more about the subjects”

“Lead us in the right direction in the library cannot provide us with a specific answer.”